

RESPONSE UNDER 37 C.F.R. § 1.116
U.S. Application No. 09/611,308
Attorney Docket No. Q59988

REMARKS

General Remarks

Claims 1-23 are all the claims currently pending in the present application.

IDS. Applicant notes that the Examiner returned a signed and initialed copy of the PTO/SB/08 A & B form, which was filed with Applicant's IDS on October 10, 2003, along with the previous Office Action of March 30, 2004. However, Applicant notes that the Examiner failed to initial foreign patent document EP 0 924 868 assigned to Matsushita Electric Industrial Co., Ltd.. Therefore, Applicant respectfully requests that the Examiner initial this reference and return a new signed and initialed copy of the PTO/SB/08 A & B form filed on October 10, 2003 with the next Office communication. Applicant has attached hereto a duplicate copy of the PTO/SB/08 A & B for the Examiner's convenience.

Interview. A telephone interview was conducted with the Examiner on November 12, 2004. A Statement of the Substance of the Interview follows.

Claim Rejections. Claims 1-3, 13, and 19-23 stand rejected under 35 U.S.C. § 103(a) as allegedly unpatentable over Shoji, U.S. Patent No. 6,067,293 ("Shoji"). Claim 9 stands rejected under 35 U.S.C. § 103(a) as allegedly unpatentable over Shoji, in view of Popovic et al., U.S. Patent No. 6,370,397 ("Popovic"). Claim 11 stands rejected under 35 U.S.C. § 103(a) as allegedly unpatentable over Shoji, in view of Terashima, U.S. Patent No. 6,385,232 ("Terashima"). Applicant respectfully traverses these rejections as set forth below.

RESPONSE UNDER 37 C.F.R. § 1.116
U.S. Application No. 09/611,308
Attorney Docket No. Q59988

Allowable Subject Matter. In the current Office Action, the Examiner indicates that Claims 4-8, 10, 12 and 14-18 contain allowable subject matter and would be allowed if rewritten into independent form including the limitations of the claims from which they depend.

Regarding Claims 15-18, Applicant notes that Claim 15 is an independent claim and that claims 16-18 depend from claim 15. Therefore, Applicant respectfully requests the allowance of Claims 15-18.

Regarding Claims 4-8, 10, and 12, Applicant respectfully requests that the rewriting of these claims be held in abeyance until the Examiner has considered Applicant's arguments presented below.

Statement of the Substance of the Interview

In the interview conducted on November 12, 2004, the issue and receipt by Applicant's representative of two different final Office Actions, both dated November 2, 2004 was discussed. The Examiner acknowledged that these Office Actions were issued in error. The Examiner agreed to cancel the two Office Actions issued in error, to issue a new final Office Action, and to re-start Applicant's time period for response based on the new Office Action.

Claim Rejections

Regarding the Examiner's continuing rejection of Claims 1-3, 13, and 19-23 over Shoji, Claim 9 over Shoji and Popovic, and Claim 11 over Shoji and Terashima, Applicant submits that the issue date of Shoji (May 23, 2000) fails to predate the filing date of the present application (July 6, 2000) by a year or more. Thus, Shoji, at best, would only be available as prior art under 35 U.S.C. § 103 based on 35 U.S.C. § 102 (a) or 102(e).

RESPONSE UNDER 37 C.F.R. § 1.116
U.S. Application No. 09/611,308
Attorney Docket No. Q59988

Applicant submits herewith a certified English translation of the priority document JP 191287/1999, there by removing Shoji as a reference under § 102(a). Under 35 U.S.C. § 103(c), Applicant may make a showing of common ownership to overcome a rejection under § 103(c), if the reference is only available as a reference under § 102(e), (f) or (g).

The undersigned hereby represents that Shoji and the claimed invention of the present application were, at the time the invention of the present application was made, owned or subject to an obligation of assignment to NEC Corporation.

In view of the above, it is respectfully submitted that Shoji is not available as prior art under 35 U.S.C. § 103, and it is requested that the rejections of Claims 1-3, 9, 11, 13, and 19-23 based on Shoji be reconsidered and withdrawn.


Conclusion

In view of the above, reconsideration and allowance of this application are now believed to be in order, and such actions are hereby solicited. If any points remain in issue which the Examiner feels may be best resolved through a personal or telephone interview, the Examiner is kindly requested to contact the undersigned attorney at the telephone number listed below.

RESPONSE UNDER 37 C.F.R. § 1.116
U.S. Application No. 09/611,308
Attorney Docket No. Q59988

The USPTO is directed and authorized to charge all required fees, except for the Issue Fee and the Publication Fee, to Deposit Account No. 19-4880. Please also credit any overpayments to said Deposit Account.

Respectfully submitted,


Laura Moskowitz
Registration No. 55,470

SUGHRUE MION, PLLC
Telephone: (202) 293-7060
Facsimile: (202) 293-7860

WASHINGTON OFFICE

23373

CUSTOMER NUMBER

Date: March 30, 2005



Substitute for Form 1449 A & B/PTO

**INFORMATION DISCLOSURE
STATEMENT BY APPLICANT**

(use as many sheets as necessary)

Complete if Known

Application Number	09/611,308
Confirmation Number	Unknown
Filing Date	July 06, 2000
First Named Inventor	Motoyasu TAGUCHI
Art Unit	2731
Examiner Name	Unknown
Attorney Docket Number	Q59988

Sheet 1 of 1

U.S. PATENT DOCUMENTS

Examiner Initials*	Cite No. ¹	Document Number		Publication Date MM-DD-YYYY	Name of Patentee or Applicant of Cited Document
		Number	Kind Code ² (if known)		
		US 6,195,551	B1	02/27/2001	Kim et al.
		US			
		US			
		US			
		US			
		US			
		US			
		US			

FOREIGN PATENT DOCUMENTS

Examiner Initials*	Cite No. ¹	Foreign Patent Document			Publication Date MM-DD-YYYY	Name of Patentee or Applicant of Cited Document	Translation ⁶
		Country Code ³	Number ⁴	Kind Code ⁵ (if known)			
		EP	0 924 868	A1	06/23/1999	Matsushita Electric Industrial Co., Ltd.	
		WO	98/36588	A2	08/20/1998	Qualcomm Incorporated	Abstract
		WO	97/06648	A1	02/20/1997	Telefonaktiebolaget LM Ericsson	Abstract
		EP	0 884 856	A2	12/16/1998	Yozan Inc.	

NON PATENT LITERATURE DOCUMENTS

Examiner Initials*	Cite No. ¹	Include name of the author (in CAPITAL LETTERS), title of the article (when appropriate), title of the item (book, magazine, journal, serial, symposium, catalog, etc.), date, page(s), volume-issue number(s), publisher, city, and/or country where published.	Translation ⁶
		Patent Abstracts of Japan, Vol. 1999, No. 9, July 30, 1999 (corresponds to JPA 11-113042, published April 23, 1999 with Abstract).	
		RAN-WG1: "S1.15 VO.10 Measurements (FDD)" Technical Specification Group, Radio Access Network, March 2-4, 1999, pp. 1-20.	

Examiner Signature		Date Considered	
--------------------	--	-----------------	--

*EXAMINER: Initial if reference considered, whether or not citation is in conformance with MPEP 609. Draw line through citation if not in conformance and not considered. Include copy of this form with next communication to applicant.

¹ Applicant's unique citation designation number (optional). ² See Kind Codes of USPTO Patent Documents at www.uspto.gov, MPEP 901.04 or in the comment box of this document. ³ Enter Office that issued the document, by the two-letter code (WIPO Standard ST. 3). ⁴ For Japanese patent documents, the indication of the year of the reign of the Emperor must precede the serial number of the patent document. ⁵ Kind of document by the appropriate symbols as indicated on the document under WIPO Standard ST. 16 if possible. ⁶ Applicant is to indicate here if English language Translation is attached.